

1805-031

Chancery Causes: Charles Bagnal & ux & al vs John King

Sale of Wight County

other surnames: Godwin  
Bunkley, Parker, Norworthy,  
Williams

Ragnall &c

or:

papers-

King

see inside for  
rules

June 1804

Jud. for Comptls. &c

Ref. to the master Compt.

(see minutes)

July 1805

upt. ut. & final decree cut.

10. 32 cts. & \$15. 00 for Writs

papers

July Judgments  
1805 W. 2

1805-

Shew me to price to the master Compt. &c  
or otherwise, but my hand place this 2 of July

1805

Book on record for 1805  
Writ

The 1: When did Kisham King die

*John King*

*John King*

*John King*

To the Worshippful court of Ple of Right County sitting  
in chancery, humbly complaining, shew unto your  
Worshippes, your Orators and Oratrices Charles Baguel  
and Amelia his wife, Edmund Godwin and Sarah  
Bamkley.

That Henry King departed this life in  
testate in the year of our Lord one thousand  
seven hundred and eighty one, leaving Lands and  
personal property. That a certain Tristram King was  
his eldest Brother who went beyond the seas in the year  
of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty  
and has never been heard of since. That Samuel King  
was next in age to the said Tristram. That the said  
Samuel devised to John King, his youngest brother  
his whole estate. That the Plaintiffs are the children  
of Ann King the sister of Henry Tristram, Samuel  
and John King. Your Complainants farther state  
that the said John King is in possession of all the  
estates of the said Henry and Tristram, and actually  
refuses to deliver the same or any part thereof to  
them, saying and pretending that he is intitled  
thereto, as being heir at law to the said Henry as well  
as to Tristram. And Your Complainants further  
state that they are advised as Henry King died  
in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred

and eighty one, intestate, and as Tristram his eldest Brother absented himself from this country just before that period, who was evidently heir at law to Henry, and as the law never presumed Tristram dead until seven years had elapsed without his being seen or heard of. That his the said Tristram's estate including even what descended to him by the death of Henry, should be divided into three parts, two of which to be allotted to John King, and in his own right, and the other as devise under Samuel King, and the other third to be divided among themselves as the legal heirs of him the said Tristram. But they lastly state, that although they are fully satisfied that this claim is moderate and reasonable, a division can not be obtained on account of the erroneous opinion formed by John King of his being the sole heir of Tristram King, without the intervention of this court.

In tender consideration whereof and for as much as your Complainants are nevertheless at law, they solicit the aid of Your Worship.

To the end therefore they pray that the said John King may be made def. to this Bill of Complaint, and that he may upon his corporal oath full, true and bespoken answer make to all

and singular the allegations herein contained, and that  
as copiously as if the same were here repeated, and  
more especially if Henry King did not die intestate  
in the year one thousand seven hundred and eighty  
one; if Twissman did not leave this country the  
year before and if he has been heard of since.  
If his own claim is not founded upon Twissman's  
supposed death? if Twissman was not the eldest son  
of Henry King? If he is not in the actual possession  
of the estate of Twissman as well that part which  
descended to him by the death of Henry as of his  
other estate. How much is it, and what? Your  
Complainant lastly prays that your worship will  
decree that one third of the estate of Twissman  
in the hands of John King be delivered by him  
to them, or make such other order or decree  
in the premises for their relief as may seem just  
and equitable.

May it please V.

Rich<sup>d</sup>. W. Byrnes  
P. 2

Bagmat 4. 1/2  
is 1/2 Bill--  
King 1/2

Jan'y 1802 Bill filed  
de. —

May 1802

Bill taken as conf. &

Mar 1803

Deere Miss

June 1803

Ans. filed. & gen. rep. d.

H. Comm.

Jan'y 1804

depo. filed

The Plea of John King a defendant to the bill of Complaint of Charles Baguel and Amelia his Wife, Edmund Godwin and Sarah Bunkley, exhibited in the Worshipfull Court of Isle of Wight.

This defendant, by protestation, not confessing or acknowledging all or any of the matters and things in the Complainants said bill of Complaints contained to be true, in such manner and form as the same are therein alleged, for Plea unto the said bill saith, that, One of the plaintiffs named Sarah Bunkley, ~~and~~ in the Complainants bill, stated to be a Child of Ann King, Sister of Henry, Tristram and Samuel, is not ~~not~~ in fact a Child of the said Ann, or in any way intitled to any part of the Land or other estate claimed, <sup>(which is not admitted by this defendant)</sup> even admitting that the Children of the said Anne were intitled thereto. ~~Which~~ This defendant doth aver, <sup>not</sup> to be true, and therefore he doth plead the same in abatement, to the Complainants said bill of Complaint and humbly craves the Judgement of the Court, whether he shall make any answer therunto. & prays to be hence dismissed. &c.

And the said defendant doth further plead, that if the Complainants in the said bill named, or either of them ever had any cause of Suit against him, this defendant, for or concerning the personal property of which either, Henry, Tristram or Samuel King died possessed of, as in the bill stated (which this defendant doth in no sort admit) the same did accrue or arise ~~above~~ <sup>five</sup> years before filing the said bill, and serving this defendant with any process, to appear and answer the same. And this defendant further for plea saith and doth aver, that he did not at any time within five years before filing the Complainants bill or the service of process on him to answer therunto, ever promise or agree, to come into any account for, or to pay, or satisfy any money or thing in the Complainants bill sought for or demanded. <sup>act of Limitation of actions</sup> And therefore he pleads the same in bar of the Complainants said bill and their pretended demand for which they seek to be relieved. & he prays hence to be dismissed. &c. with his Costs &c. -

John King

Mc King }  
ad } Plea  
Bagnall }  
}

The answer of John King a defendant to a bill of Complaints exhibited against him before the worshipfull Court of Isle of Wright, in Chancery, by Charles Bagnal and Amelias his wife. Edmond Godwin and Sarah Bunkley.

This defendant having and reserving to himselfe, now and at all times hereafter the right of exception to the many folds errors, mistakes, misstatements and untruths in the Complainants said bill contained, for answer thereto or to so much thereof as he is informed is material for him to answer unto, he answering saith. That it is true — that Henry King, in the bill mentioned, ~~was the elder brother of Tristram~~ died intestate possessed of land and some personal estate, that Tristram was his elder brother, and that Tristram went to sea and was never heard of; but he denies that the death of the one <sup>and</sup> the departure of the other happened at or about the period stated in the bill, but long before. This respondent admits that Tristram was possessed of land and some personal estate at his departure from this Country, that Samuel was his Tristrams, next elder brother and that he died possessed of land and personal estate, that he Samuel made a will; but he denies that he gave this respondents all his estate. He admits that the Complainants Edmond and Amelias, are Children of Anne King, afterwards Ann Godwin; but he denies that the Complainant Sarah is a daughter of Anns. — It is admitted by this defendant that he has possessed himself of and Claims title to the lands of which Henry, Tristram and Samuel died possessed; but; though he does not waive any rights or title in him at law to the said Henry and Tristram; but on the contrary, insists on all such rights still as the law gives him, he Claims and more immediately relies on the remainders limited over to him by his fathers will a Copy of which he begs leave to offer as a part of this answer. This defendant, though he relies with perfect Confidence on his said fathers will, is nevertheless willing to fortify his title to the estates real and personal which were possessed by the said Henry, Tristram and Samuel, by every legal and equitable Claim, which providence and the law has cast upon him; and therefore in answer to such parts of the Complainants bill as relates to the time of ~~Henry~~ Henrys death and of Tristrams departure from the Country, in addition to his questioning the Correctness of the bill, he believes that that Tristram was gone and unheard of, Several years before Henrys death

and that Henry's death happened at a much earlier period than that stated in the bill; so that admitting all the facts as they are stated in the bill, except as to dates, ~~and~~ on this point, this defendant would be entitled as legatee & devisee of Samuel who, in that case, was heir at law of Tristram, who was heir at law of Henry (except as to the legacy bequeathed by Samuel's will, which have been paid and delivered), the seven years having elapsed before the law of descents was changed. — But he further would say, even in case the law changing the course of descents had passed and been in operation before the seven years absence of Tristram expired, that under the act of assembly made in such cases he would not be barred his inheritance as heir at law, under Samuel's will, of Henry Tristram; for that a court giving just and reasonable construction to the act, will not say, that because at the end of seven years the presumptions arose of Tristram's death, they must presume the death happened on the very day the seven years ended; but will presume the death to have happened at a very early period after his departure, which construction, in this case, is strongly fortified by circumstances. The presumptions in this case may be likened to that arising from 20 years against a bond which is considered to imply that it was paid when it became due. — This defendant states that, Henry, Tristram & said King his brothers in the bill mentioned died possessed of no other land, but what they derived under the will of Henry the father aforesaid, that their personal estates were very inconsiderable, that considering himself, as he does entitled, exclusively, thereto, he was not very minute in making accounts of them, and that until a better title than his appears, he does not consider himself bound to say what the estates (personal) consisted of. — He prays the Court that he may be hence discharged with his costs to wrongfully sustained. &c. &c.

Barber for  
Defendant

Isle of Wight County, - to Wits.

This day John King, the within named defendant made oath before me, a Justice of the Peace for the said County, that the allegations, statements, ~~contained~~ in the foregoing answer contained are true, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Given under my hand this 6th day of June 1803

Dalham Price

The additional answer of the King to the Bill of Com<sup>t</sup> exhibited at 5<sup>th</sup> line by Baynall & wife &c.

The Resp<sup>t</sup> states that all the personal Estate of the Person under whom the Compt<sup>t</sup> claim <sup>except negroes</sup> was not equal to £50, & they had no other lands except those which were given them by the wife of the res<sup>t</sup>'s father. — He does not know the number of negroes exactly, but ~~is willing to~~ <sup>will be</sup> make a full acct. before the Master Com<sup>r</sup> <sup>will be</sup> ~~at any time~~ <sup>to whom</sup> he presumes all the accounts submitted provided the Court shall be of opinion that the Compt<sup>t</sup> are entitled. & also that he will state the length of time he has had the estate in his possession.

Sworn to in Court

B. Lightfoot D.C.

King-  
ad  
Bazualte } Answer

The demurrer of Charles Bagual and Amelia his wife,  
Edmund Godwin and Sarah Brinkley ~~as~~ to the answer  
of John King to their bill exhibited against him  
in the court of Chancery of Wight county -

The said Charles Bagual and Amelia his wife,  
Edmund Godwin and Sarah Brinkley by  
protestation, not confessing or acknowledging  
any of the matters or things in the depts said  
answer to be true in manner and form, <sup>as</sup>  
they are therein set forth and alledged, <sup>as</sup>  
therein, and for cause of demurrer, say that the  
answer of the said King is <sup>too</sup> defective, incomplete  
and insufficient for this court to render  
any decree upon, because they say that the  
said John King has not discovered what  
estate of Henry King and of Fustman  
King ever came to his knowledge or possession  
as he was required to do by the said bill,  
nor has he set forth and discovered what  
estate of the said Henry and Fustman is  
now in his hands, as he ought to have done  
according to the established principles of  
chancery proceedings. Wherefore the said  
Charles Bagual and Amelia his wife, and  
Edmund Godwin and Sarah Brinkley  
pray judgment if the said John King  
shall not be forced to put in a fuller  
and more sufficient answer to their  
said bill -

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

Bagnalby  
is  
King. } denumer

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

THE Commonwealth of VIRGINIA to the Sheriff of

*Isle of Wight*

county,

greeting. We command you, that you summon

*John King*

to appear before our Justices of our county court of *Isle of Wight* at their courthouse  
of said county, on the *first Monday in November* next, then and there  
to answer a bill in Chancery against *him* exhibited by *Charles Baguab*

*and Amelia his wife, Edmund Godwin, & Sarah Bunkley*

And this *he* shall in no wise omit under the penalty of 100l. And have then  
there also this writ. WITNESS *Francis Young* clerk of our said court

the *11th* day of *August* 1800. and in the

*25th* year of the commonwealth.

*F. Young*

Bozeman, Wyo. Feb.

W. Sp. in chry.  
Wing

Printed, Sep. 1800.

Robt. B. Pease, dep.  
Jo. Sulpham, thff

Sir take notice that the Deposition of Richard Williams  
Sir John Cunningham and James Smith will be taken  
at Thomas Hancock's in Smithfield on the 10<sup>th</sup> of December  
in 1803. between the Hour of tea in the Morning and  
five in the Evening as evidence at <sup>the</sup> Suit of Edmond Gow  
in favor Charles Bagnell and Salley Bunkley against  
John King. November 19. 1803.

Mr John King & Edmond Godwin Jun<sup>r</sup>  
Charles Bagnell  
Salley Bunkley

Shof Wright &c. June 4<sup>th</sup> 1804  
This day appeared before me Josiah  
Parker a justice of the peace for County  
aforesaid Joseph Holliday & swore upon  
the holy evangelist of almighty God  
that he did deliver to John King a  
copy of the within notice prior to the  
3 day of Dec<sup>r</sup> last. but on what day  
he does not recollect. Given under my  
hand this day & year above

J. Parker J. P.

The Commonwealth of Virginia to Thomas King and  
Andrew Woodley. Gentlemen greeting, know ye that we trusting to  
your fidelity and provident circumspection in diligently examining Richard  
Williams & James Smith, —

as well on the behalf of Edmond Gooswin, Charles Bagnall & Sarah Bunkley  
Plaintiffs ~~as on the~~ and John King Defendant —

Command you or any two or more  
of you that at such certain days and places you shall appoint, you assemble  
your selves and the witnesses aforesaid, before you or any two or more of you,  
you call and cause to come and diligently examine on the holy evangelist  
of Almighty God, and such examinations in writing into our County Court of  
Isle of Wight, distinctly and plainly without delay you shall send and certify  
enclosed, returning also to us this writ. Witness Nathaniel Young Clerk of our said  
Court at the Courthouse the 3<sup>d</sup> day of Dec<sup>r</sup> 1803 in the 28<sup>th</sup>  
year of the Commonwealth —

Nathaniel Young

Suppose a lease & see when it will lead to.  
King cannot answer — order without authority

Case of negro to ~~come~~ if ~~to~~ then  
to one not in being. —

Difference between Conditional fee & fee Tail  
Contingency ~~too~~ remote. —

The affidavit of R<sup>ts</sup> C Williams taken in a  
Suit in Chancery in Isle of Wight County,  
between Bejnall & Wife and others Complainants  
and John King defts. — This affiant being  
duly sworn deposes and saith — that he was  
well acquainted with Henry King, the son of  
Henry King, that he believes all the estate he  
owned was derived from his fathers estate.  
That he does not believe he ever gained a  
penny ~~or performed a days work~~ in his life,  
that he was in the habit of transacting the  
business of his fathers estate for his mother,  
who was its representative, that he was engaged  
~~in so~~ in conjunction with his brother Trustram  
in vessel building, He sunk about fifty  
pounds, as this affiant thinks, by horse sweeping  
and was generally speaking, not a money  
making or frugal person, but the reverse.  
He knows that the vessel built by the do<sup>r</sup>  
Henry & brother was sold, but he knows not  
to whom or for how much.

Qu. by Aft. Was Tristram, a frugal, money  
making man. -

Ans. I believe he never made more than supported  
himself, I dont believe his estate was  
improved or increased one Shilling after  
his fathers death, or injured it. -

Qu. Do you know of any payments or ~~money~~ ~~advances~~  
advances made by Mrs King to her son Henry  
or Tristram -

Ans. It was impossible to know. Henry had  
the management of every thing on the  
plantations, and seemed to live in  
common with his mother, & Brothers.

~~Wm. Parker J.P.~~

Richard Williams

Wm. to before me.

J. Parker J.P.

Richard Williams

Attest

Mr John King.

Wrighton

In consequence of your request that I would state what I remember of a monied transaction between the Estate of your Father & me or mine which you think material in a controversy between Bagat & wife & against you - I now declare that I remember my Father Nicholas Parker did borrow of your Father Henry King for my use in gold & silver between the years 1770 & 1773, Two hundred and forty pound Current Money in gold & silver, that my Father let me have that money as part of my stock in trade with Arthur Smith - that the money was not called for to my knowledge until after my joining in the Northern Army in the year 1776. But some time after my Father wrote me of his infirmities & press'd me much to return home, and at the same time observed that he wish'd the money due to the Estate of Henry King paid before his death & that Mr King had applied to him & said it would serve & pay for the land purchased of Burwell in consequence I took measures to take up the land. <sup>by my Father or wife to out of my hands</sup> which was paid 1774 or 1775 - that I do not know how the money was disposed of whether paid to the Comrs of Burwell or whether sunk in Mr Kings hands as paper currency in which it was paid.

15th March 1805

Joseph Parker

Mr King

Col. Parker  
letter to  
Schumaker

The Affidavit of Tristram Norworthy of Lawfull Age taken in behalf  
of John King in consequence of a suit depending in the County Court  
of Isle of Wight in which Charles Baynall & Wife Edm. Godwin  
& others are plaintiffs & John King defendants.

The said Tristram Norworthy appeared before Sir John  
Godwin a Justice of the Peace for the ~~County~~ County of  
Isle of Wight & deposed upon the holy Evangelist of Almighty  
God that he was at the Sale of Henry King Junr. dec'd  
and heard ~~the~~ Mrs King the Administratrix say that her  
son Henry owed her more money than his personal  
property was worth (or in her own words) more  
money than he had to pay, & further this depo-  
sition is not - his

Tristram Norworthy  
mark

Isle of Wight County  
March 29-1805, The affidavit of Tristram  
Norworthy was duly sworn to before

Godwin

The deposition of Richard Williams taken in a suit in chancery depending in Isle of Wight Court, wherein Charles Bagwell and others are plaintiffs and John King is defendant.

This deponent being of lawful age, being first sworn on the holy Evangelist of Almighty God, deposes and says that he was acquainted with Henry King the brother of the dect. John King; and also with his brother Tristram King. He states that he saw the said Tristram King on old Christmas day in the year 1780. That he never afterwards saw him, and that it was generally said he went to sea shortly after that time.

Question by dect. How do you so well remember the day when you saw Tristram King last. -

Ans. Only from a receipt for the purchase of things, which I purchased from him.

Question. Where is that receipt. -

Answer. - Its at home. -

Question. When did you last see the receipt.

Answer. I will not answer that question. -

Question. Are you positive you saw Tristram King on old Christmas day 1780. -

Answer. I am. -

Question - Pray Sir. was there not a very hard frost in January 1781. -

Answer. There was.

Question - About what date did it commence.

Answer. its out of my power to tell.

Question. I think you said just now. the Great frost began the first of January. -

Answer. I said so, it was thereabout, I call the 10th then about the first. -

That Edmund Godwin the ~~off~~ who is the  
son of the sister of Henry Frisvorn and  
John King was born <sup>in</sup> about the year  
1775 - ~~And further this deponent saith~~

Question by deft: Pray Sir, how do you know  
so exactly when Edmund Godwin was born.  
Answer. My daughter and ~~he~~ were christened the same  
day ~~year~~ both at the breast at the time.  
And she was born Sept<sup>r</sup> 1775. -

And further this deponent  
saith not. -

Rich<sup>d</sup> Williams

By virtue of a commission to us  
directed we have taken the above  
deposition of Rich<sup>d</sup> Williams at Tho:  
Hancocks Tavern this third day of  
December 1803. and also the deposition  
of James Smith on the adjoining page  
both of the said witnesses being first  
duly sworn - Given under our  
hands and seals &c.

W<sup>m</sup> King  
J<sup>no</sup> Woodly

The deposition of James Smith taken at  
Tho: Hancock's tavern relative to the foregoing  
suit. This deponent saith, that Henry  
King the brother of the deft John King  
sied <sup>the fall of</sup> in the year 1781. And further he  
saith not - James Smith

Dr The Estates of Henry & Tristram King De in the with John King . . . 6.  
 To Cash p.<sup>d</sup> Dr. W. P. White . . . £ 2.-  
 " Henry King Bond Due Mary King . . . 56. 8. 9  
 " Tristram King Bond Due D.<sup>r</sup> . . . 7. 17.-  
 " Cash p.<sup>d</sup> for Henry King's Coffin . . . 15.-  
 Ditto p.<sup>d</sup> for Tristram King . . . 2.-  
 Bal. Due the Estates . . . 337. 10. 3  
 £ 406. 11.-

By Henry King part of his  
 Father's Estate . . . £ 103. 8.-  
 By D.<sup>r</sup> part of his Sister  
 Martha's Estate . . . £ 37. 13. 6  
 By Tristram King part of his  
 Father's Estate . . . £ 103. 8.-  
 By Ditto part of his Sister  
 Martha's Estate . . . £ 37. 13. 6  
 By 8 head Cattle belonging  
 to Tristram King Estate provided  
 by the will of Thos. Williams £ 19. 10.-  
 By Am. of Appraisement of  
 Henry King's Estate . . . £ 62. 18.-  
 By hire of Negroes . . . 42.-  
 £ 406. 11. 0

To John King part say  $\frac{2}{4}$  of  
 of £ 548. 9 . . . £ 274. 4. 6  
 To Sarah Bunkley part say  
 $\frac{1}{4}$  of £ 548. 9 . . . £ 137. 2. 3  
 To Bagnal & Godwin part  
 say  $\frac{1}{4}$  of £ 548. 9 . . . £ 137. 2. 3  
 £ 548. 9.-

By Bal. . . . . 337. 10. 3  
 By Ad. on D.<sup>r</sup> from Dec 7 1792  
 until July 1804. 123. 6. 11<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> 210. 18. 9  
 £ 548. 9. 0

In obedience to a Decree of the Court of Chancery to us  
 Directed we the undersigned examined and stated an Acc.<sup>t</sup> of the Estates of  
 Henry & Tristram King and find a Balance Due to the said Estates of Five  
 hundred & forty eight Pounds Nine Shillings which we have Devoted in  
 the following Manner (to wit) to John King  $\frac{2}{4}$  to Sarah Bunkley  $\frac{1}{4}$  & to  
 Bagnal & Godwin  $\frac{1}{4}$  as per the Above Statement. We further Report that  
 we have Deceased the Slaves belonging to the said Estates among the  
 complainants & John King the Defendant & find a Balance Due from  
 John King of thirty seven Pounds Ten Shillings Due to Sarah Bunkley  
 and thirty seven Pounds Ten Shillings Due to Bagnal & Godwin out  
 of the Negroes Deceased in Samuel King's Will to Edmund Godwin and  
 Henry King - Given under our hands this 7<sup>th</sup> Day of Aug. 1804 -

Wm. Jordan  
 Willis Mills

Report of the  
Commissioners  
on the Estates  
of  
Henry & Pristum,  
King &c

700 11 0

on Matt  
Whole Amount of the Acc Current of Henry Kings Estate

£1002..4..0 1/2

Legacies Decurled Viz

|             |           |
|-------------|-----------|
| John King   | £50..0..0 |
| Anne Godwin | 20..      |
| Martha King | 30..      |
| Sarah King  | 50..      |

£170..0..0 1/2

Balance  
Divided equally between the Mother  
and Seven Children Agreeable to Will  $\frac{1}{8}$  £832..4..0

Martha King who Died intestate without heirs  
One Eighth £104..0..6

With her Legacy 50..  
£134..0..6  
Divided Among the Six Surviving  
Children £25..13..5

John Kings part £104..0..6  
his Legacy 50..  
his part Martha Kings 25..13..5

£179..13..11

Henry Kings part £104..0..6  
his part of Martha Kings 25..13..5

£129..13..11

Isabel Kings part £104..0..6  
his part of Martha Kings £25..13..5

£129..13..11

*Statement*

**B**

*Statement*

*D*

Account of Henry King the above Estate £1002 4 0 1/2

Sh in the Acc. of £240.10 from Col. Parker  
at 3 for one Nov. 1774 ————— £160.6.8

John Kings legacy deducted ————— 30.

Ann Goddards Do Do ————— 20.

Martha Kings Do Do ————— 30.

Sarah Kings Do Do ————— 30 ————— 330.6.8

Balance ————— £671.17.4 1/2

Divided equally between the Mother and  
seven children Agreeable to Will ————— { 8 ————— 83.19.7 1/2

Martha King who Died intestate Overthout  
her ————— her 1/8 ————— £83.19.7 1/2

with her debts ————— 30 —————

Divided among Six Surviving ————— £133.19.7 1/2

Children ————— { 5 £22. 6 7 1/4

Henry Kings part ————— £83. 19. 7 1/2

his part of Martha Kings Est. ————— 22. 6. 7 1/4

£106. 6. 2 3/4

Frederick Kings part ————— £83. 19. 7 1/2

his part of Martha Kings Est. ————— 22. 6. 7 1/4

£106. 6. 2 3/4

On the estate of Henry & Freston King in Acc<sup>t</sup> with John King

Exhibited by John King 1803  
 To Cash Paid Doctor Applewhite . . . £ 2<sup>0</sup>.0.0  
 To Henry Kings Bond Due Mary King  
 his Mother . . . { 56<sup>8</sup>.8.9  
 To Freston Kings Due Ditto . . . 7<sup>15</sup>.15.  
 To Cash Paid for Henry Kings Coffin . . . " 15.  
 To Ditto Paid for Freston King . . . 3.  
 Balance Due the Estate . . . 268.7.8 1/2

£ 337<sup>0</sup>.0.5 1/2

To John Kings part say 2/4 of £445 13.10 1/2 222<sup>16</sup>.16.11  
 To Sarah Bunkley 1/4 of do . . . 111<sup>8</sup>.8.5 1/2  
 To Bagnal & Godwin 1/4 of do . . . 111<sup>8</sup>.8.5 1/2  
 £ 445<sup>13</sup>.13.10

To 8 head of cattle see the Subjoined Statement . . . { 19<sup>10</sup>.10.  
 To Cash p<sup>d</sup> George Perolia by Sam King  
 Acmd. of Henry King . . . { 6<sup>17</sup>.17.10  
 To Cash p<sup>d</sup> Mary Kalladay En<sup>d</sup> of  
 Anthoney Kalladay Due by Sam King . . . { 6<sup>13</sup>.13.  
 Acmd. of Henry King . . .  
 To Int<sup>n</sup> on these three Sums Am<sup>t</sup> to  
 £ 33<sup>0</sup>.0.10 - 13 years & 3 mo<sup>s</sup> at 5% . . . 21<sup>17</sup>.17.9 1/2  
 Balance Due the Estate . . . 390<sup>15</sup>.15.2 1/2

To Bal. Bro<sup>r</sup> Dawson . . . £ 443<sup>13</sup>.13.10

£ 390<sup>15</sup>.15.2 1/2

Statement D

C<sup>r</sup>

By Henry Kings part of his fathers Est<sup>t</sup> £ 83<sup>19</sup>.19.7 1/2  
 By Ditto Ditto his part of Marthas Est<sup>t</sup> 22<sup>0</sup>.0.7 1/2  
 By Freston Kings part of his fathers Est<sup>t</sup> 83<sup>19</sup>.19.7 1/2  
 By Ditto Ditto his part of Marthas Est<sup>t</sup> 22<sup>0</sup>.0.7 1/2  
 By 8 head of cattle belonging to the Estate  
 of Freston King. Proven by the  
 oath of Richard Williams . . . 19<sup>10</sup>.10.  
 By Amount of Appraisement of Henry  
 Kings Estate if being the best  
 evidence to be obtained . . . 62<sup>18</sup>.18.  
 By hire of Niggers . . . 42<sup>11</sup>.11.  
 £ 37<sup>0</sup>.0.5 1/2

By Balance Due the Est<sup>t</sup> of Henry  
 & Freston King . . . 268<sup>7</sup>.7.8 1/2  
 By Interest from 1792 until Apr<sup>l</sup> 8  
 1805 13 years & 3 months at 5% . . . 17<sup>12</sup>.12.1 1/2  
 £ 445<sup>13</sup>.13.10

By Balance Due the Est<sup>t</sup> of Henry  
 & Freston King . . . £ 390<sup>15</sup>.15.2 1/2  
 John Kings part say 2/4 of £390.15.2 1/2 195<sup>7</sup>.7.7 1/2  
 Sarah Bunkley 1/4 . . . 97<sup>13</sup>.13.9 1/2  
 Bagnal & Godwin 1/4 . . . 97<sup>13</sup>.13.9 1/2  
 £ 390<sup>15</sup>.15.2 1/2

By Freston Kings part of his fathers  
 bond sister Marthas Est<sup>t</sup> which the  
 Court has thought proper to decree  
 with 13 y<sup>r</sup> & 3 mo<sup>s</sup> Interest . . . £ 176<sup>14</sup>.14.2  
 Bal. Due the Estate of Henry King . . . £ 214<sup>1</sup>.1.0 1/2  
 £ 390<sup>15</sup>.15.2 1/2

Some The Estate of Henry & Tristram King In Acc<sup>t</sup> with John King

Exhibits by John King W<sup>t</sup>

|                                                                                                 |                                                            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| To Cash paid <sup>only</sup> for Henry King                                                     | £ 2 <sup>00</sup> 0 <sup>00</sup> 0                        |
| To Henry King's Bond Due Datto                                                                  | 56 <sup>00</sup> 8 <sup>00</sup> 9                         |
| To Tristram King's Bond Due Datto                                                               | 7 <sup>00</sup> 15 <sup>00</sup> -                         |
| To Cash paid for Henry King's Coffin                                                            | " 15 <sup>00</sup> -                                       |
| To Datto paid for Tristram King                                                                 | 2 <sup>00</sup> -                                          |
| Balance Due the Estates                                                                         | 314 <sup>00</sup> 17 <sup>00</sup> 1                       |
|                                                                                                 | <u>£ 383<sup>00</sup> 15<sup>00</sup> 10</u>               |
| To John King's part say $\frac{2}{4}$ of £ 513 <sup>00</sup> 8 <sup>00</sup> 7 <sup>1}{2}</sup> | £ 256 <sup>00</sup> 14 <sup>00</sup> 3 <sup>3}{4}</sup>    |
| To Sarah Bunchley part say $\frac{1}{4}$                                                        | 128 <sup>00</sup> 7 <sup>00</sup> 1 <sup>3}{4}</sup>       |
| To Bagnal & Godwin part $\frac{1}{4}$                                                           | 128 <sup>00</sup> 7 <sup>00</sup> 1 <sup>3}{4}</sup>       |
|                                                                                                 | <u>£ 513<sup>00</sup> 8<sup>00</sup> 7<sup>1}{2}</sup></u> |

By Henry King's part of his father's Est. £ 104<sup>00</sup> 0<sup>00</sup> 6  
 By D<sup>r</sup> D<sup>r</sup> his part of Matthew King's Est. 25<sup>00</sup> 13<sup>00</sup> 5  
 By Tristram King's part of his father's Est. 104<sup>00</sup> 0<sup>00</sup> 6  
 By D<sup>r</sup> D<sup>r</sup> his part of Matthew King's Est. 25<sup>00</sup> 13<sup>00</sup> 5  
 as a Statement **B** and hereunder annexed

By 8 head of cattle belonging to the Est.  
 of Tristram King. Shown by the oath  
 of Richard Williams to have  
 come into the hands of John King - 219<sup>00</sup> 10<sup>00</sup> -

By Amount of Appraisement of Henry  
 King's Estate. John King after being  
 solemnly Refused to Acc<sup>t</sup> for Henry's  
 Estate or in other words Denys that  
 any ever came to his hands -  
 Richard Williams, Mills, Horsworthy  
 and W<sup>t</sup> Pitt, proves the sale of  
 Henry's Estate, (by John King the Defendant)  
 but cannot remember the Am<sup>t</sup> - 62<sup>00</sup> 18<sup>00</sup> 0  
 See Exhibit **C**

By hire of Negro this point conceded  
 by the Def<sup>t</sup> John King - 42<sup>00</sup> 0<sup>00</sup> -

By Balance Due - 314<sup>00</sup> 17<sup>00</sup> 1  
 By Int<sup>r</sup> from Jan<sup>y</sup> 1792 until Apr<sup>l</sup>  
 1805 - 13 years & 3 months 25<sup>00</sup> 11<sup>00</sup> 6<sup>3}{4}</sup>  
 (Interest omitted from 1775 to 1792) 313<sup>00</sup> 8<sup>00</sup> 7<sup>1}{2}</sup>

In obedience to the Arme<sup>d</sup> Decree of the Court of Exchequer the undersigned have Examined Stated and Settled an Account of the Estates of Henry & Tristram King and find a Balance Due the Said Estate of Five hundred and thirteen pounds eight Shillings & Seven pence half penny which we have Divided in the following Manner (to Wit) to John King  $\frac{2}{4}$  to Sarah Bunchley  $\frac{1}{4}$  and to Bagnal & Godwin  $\frac{1}{4}$  as the Above Statement We further Report that we have Divided the Slaves belonging to the Said Estates among the Complacants, & John King the Defendant and find a Balance Due from John King of thirty seven pounds Ten Shillings Due to Sarah Bunchley & thirty seven pounds Ten Shillings Due to Bagnal & Godwin out of the Negroes Devised in Samuel King's Will to to Edmond Godwin & Henry King - on Affida of John King that his Mother Received from Joseph Parker a Sum of Money in a depreciated State, Col<sup>d</sup> Parker's letter (which is admitted as evidence by the parties) is now before us. he states that altho he did pay the money in Nov<sup>r</sup> 1777 it was expressly call<sup>d</sup> for by M<sup>r</sup> King the Ex<sup>t</sup> to pay for Land that she had purchased of Barrell's Estate and that on complaint of M<sup>r</sup> King some time afterwards he Joseph Parker offered to take the Land and pay the same Amount in gold & Silver.

On a further plea of John King that Henry & Tristram King had Received of their Mother their proportion of their father's Estate. Richard Williams Affidavit is now before us which we do not conceive sufficient to ground any other Statement than the above - We have however discovered some error in the former Statement in the calculation only - We have omitted to charge Interest from 1775 to 1792 - besides the usual allowance for the Revolution, we can see no <sup>other</sup> just cause for omitting 8 or 9 years Interest, but the common usage of Mercur, in such long standing and complicated matters. We however have annexed another Statement admitting the Money paid by Col<sup>d</sup> Parker according to the scale in Nov<sup>r</sup> 77 at 3 for one which we with great diffidence submit to the judgement of the Court - Tristram Nasworthy Affidavit, in our opinion contains Nothing conclusive, We however think it reasonable to suppose that if Henry & Tristram King did Draw from their Mother any Sums of Money to carry on the building of a Vessel, that that the Vessel was sold and the proceeds must have come into the hands of M<sup>r</sup> King their Mother and of course to have ultimately come into the hands of John King who was the heir of his Mother & Brother Samuel.

Given under our hands this 2 Day of Apr<sup>l</sup> 1805

Edmond Godwin M<sup>c</sup>

Godwin M<sup>c</sup>

Effect Current  
Reported by  
Master Commissioners  
Lordon & Godwin

head of bottle belonging to the Est:  
of Treston King, which we think  
John King ought not to be charged  
with as it appears of record that  
Treston's Estate was administered  
by the Sheriff - 19. 10 -

To Cash paid George Purder by Samuel  
King adm. of Henry King's Est. 6. 17. 10

To Cash paid Mary Holladay ex. of  
Anthony Holladay du by Samuel  
King adm. of Henry King's Est. in  
part of Judg. as 1st Rec. 6. 13 -

To Interest on £33. 0. 10 (the three sums  
above) say 13 years & 3 months at 5% 2. 17. 9 1/2

Balance Due the Estates 458. 10. -

£ 513. 8. 7 1/2

To John King's part say 2/4 of £458. 10. 0 229. 5. -

To Sarah Bunkley's part say 1/4 114. 12. 6

To Bagrat & Godwin's part 1/4 114. 12. 6

£ 458. 10. -

By Balance Bro. down £513. 8. 7 1/2

£ 513. 8. 7 1/2

By Balance Due the Estates of  
Henry & Treston King £458. 10. -

We the undersigned have thought proper to subjoin this statement, as the vouchers for the above  
items were not furnished until the above report was made up. in the above statement  
we have ~~made~~ charged John King with 8 head of bottle proven by the oath of Richard Williams  
to have come to his hands (belonging to the Estate of Treston King) which we have <sup>here</sup> designed with  
13 years & 3 m. Int. on a supposition that Richard Williams was mistaken as to their coming  
to the hands of John King. the Court having given the Administration of Treston's Estate to the  
Sheriff - the Balance as in this Subjoined Statement appears to be £458. 10. instead of  
the former Balance of £513. 8. 7 1/2 - In the Annual Statement we have made the same  
Deductions the Balance then appears to be £390. 15. 2 1/2 instead of £445. 13. 10 all of which  
We with due Difference submit to the final Decision of the Court - Given under our  
hands this 6<sup>th</sup> Day of May 1805 -

Wm Jordan M<sup>r</sup>  
Godwin M<sup>r</sup>

Executed Johnson & Sons  
William Blunt & Co

Wagwall & Co  
W. W. Brown & Co  
King

Isle of Wight County, to wit

Charles Baguall, Amelia his wife, Edmund Godwin, and Sarah  
Bunchley

against  
John King

March Court 1803

Complts

In Chancery

Deft

On the motion of the Complainants by their Attorney, It is ordered  
that unless the Defendant appear in person or by his Attorney, at May Court next, and  
answer the Complainants bill in this cause, there will be a final decree entered against  
him, and that the Sheriff without delay serve the said Defendant with this order

Attest Teste Nathl. Young Jt.

Isle of Wight County Court,

June Court 1804

Charles Bagnall and Emily his wife, Edmond  
Godwin, and Sarah Bunchley.

Comptis

against

John King.

Sh. Chancery

Deft.

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the  
bill ~~and~~ answer and exhibits - and on hearing the arguments of counsels  
on both sides, - and on consideration of the Court it is decreed and  
ordered, that the complainant's bill as far as relates to the said  
said bill mentioned, be dismissed, - And as to the slaves and other  
personal ~~property~~ belonging to the estates of Henry King and William  
King. - It is ordered that the Defendant deliver one fourth thereof to  
the complainant, Sarah Bunchley, and another fourth to the com-  
plainants Charles Bagnall and wife, and Edmond Godwin -

And it is also ordered that the Defendant render a just and true  
account of all the property of the said Henry King, and William King,  
upon oath, before William Mills Senr. and William Brown, Master  
Commissioners, - within one month, - either of the parties giving  
the others five days notice of such a settlement, and that the Com-  
missioners make their report thereof to the Court in order to a final decree -

Attest

Nathaniel Young Clk.

Bagnall Mfg. Co. N. H.  
m. : Copy de ere  
King

County of Wight county (to wit,  
Charles Bragman and Emily his wife, Edmund  
Godwin, and Sarah Bruckley

November Court 1834

3 Compts.

John Phares

against  
John King

Deft.

On the motion of the Defendant by his Attorney, for reasons appearing to the Court, It is ordered that the report made in this cause by Willis Wells, and William Jordan, Master Commissioners for this county, be set aside - and it is also ordered that the said, William Jordan, and Josiah Godwin, Master Commissioners, take up the case again, and report to this Court, not only this impression, but also all the evidence exhibited to them, and which includes the several charges and credits, in their report, contained, in order to enable the Court to make up a final decree -

Attest Teste Nathl. Young Es.

N<sup>o</sup> 1

|                                       |       |              |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--------------|
| a Negro Girl                          | assee | £ 44 - 0 - 0 |
| do. Easter                            |       | 90 - 0 - 0   |
| a Negro Woman on Buck                 |       | 30 - 0 - 0   |
|                                       |       | <hr/>        |
|                                       |       | 16 4         |
| N <sup>o</sup> 3 pay N <sup>o</sup> 1 |       | 3 - 10       |
|                                       |       | <hr/>        |
|                                       |       | £ 167 - 10   |
| W John King                           |       |              |

N<sup>o</sup> 2

|                             |              |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Negro Woman Lill & Chits    | £ 90 - 0 - 0 |
| Negro Man                   | 75 - 0 - 0   |
| N <sup>o</sup> 3 pay into 2 | 1 -          |
| 4 pay . . . 2               | 1 - 10 -     |
| <hr/>                       |              |
| £ 167 - 10                  |              |
| Brentley                    |              |

N<sup>o</sup> 3

|                  |              |
|------------------|--------------|
| Gail             |              |
| Negro Chancy     | £ 62 - 0 - 0 |
| Do. Gail Pseudum | 80 - 0 - 0   |
| do. do. Elvial   | 30 - 0 - 0   |
| <hr/>            |              |
| 172 - 0 - 0      |              |

N<sup>o</sup> 3

|                        |          |
|------------------------|----------|
| pay N <sup>o</sup> 1   | £ 3 - 10 |
| 3 pay N <sup>o</sup> 2 | 1 -      |
| <hr/>                  |          |
| 167 - 10 - 0           |          |
| King                   |          |

N<sup>o</sup> 4

|                      |              |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Negro Girl Lucy      | £ 39 - 0 - 0 |
| do. Sally            | 85 - 0 - 0   |
| do. Chancy           | 45 - 0 - 0   |
| <hr/>                |              |
| 169 - 0 - 0          |              |
| pay N <sup>o</sup> 2 | 1 - 10 -     |
| <hr/>                |              |
| 167 - 10 - 0         |              |
| A S Godwin           |              |

281-13

74-1-

281-13-

74-1-72

207-11-42

38.1-16

194-13-11/4

187-02-3 3/4

140-10

140-10

81

140-10

702-10-72

5 1/2

I have not been able to see  
J. King, but I promised that  
Mr. 2.9. was to be a  
part of father's estate.

John Smalley  
side of Colchester  
round by half at 1/2 of 13 1/2

Smalley  
Dec 29/71

Jan 1771



Greaseto Gosh. Paid by Looking <sup>the</sup> him

June 1773

ing

Henry

June 1773

Wm

Henry King Recd 13c 2<sup>nd</sup>

Recd. of Thomas King

# Inventory and Appraisement of Henry Kings Estate March 21<sup>st</sup> 1782

|                                           |             |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|
| To Negroe Will                            | £ 100. 0. 0 |
| To Negroe Beck                            | 00. 0. 0    |
| To 1 Negroe Girl Silly                    | 00. 0. 0    |
| To Negroe Girl Aggy                       | 50. 0. 0    |
| To Negroe Girl Rose                       | 50. 0. 0    |
| To two cows and two calves                | 6. 0. 0     |
| To three cows and three yearlings         | 9. 0. 0     |
| To nine head of Sheep                     | 2. 10. 0    |
| To a parcel of cash                       | 4. 11. 0    |
| To bay horse and bay colt                 | 15. 0. 0    |
| To whip saw and crop cut                  | 4. 0. 0     |
| To a parcel of black Smiths               | 12. 0. 0    |
| To two chains and shear plough            | 2. 0. 0     |
| To two pair of cart wheels                | 3. 0. 0     |
| To one gunn saddle & curry comb           | 3. 0. 0     |
| To many scales hore and razors            | 1. 10. 0    |
| To tankard and half pink pott pocket book | 4. 15. 0    |
| To best parcel of Shott                   | 2. 2. 0     |
| To seven yards of cotton cloth            | 1. 10. 0    |
| Exclusive of Negroes                      | 62. 18. 0   |

We the Subscribers being first sworn, have Appraised all the estate  
of Henry King dec'd. as Samuel King Administrator of the said  
Estate presented to our officers Given under my hand this 27.<sup>th</sup> day  
of June 1783 —

Joseph Smith  
Rich<sup>d</sup>. Williams  
Wm. Waggoner

At a Court held for Isle of Wight County the 2<sup>o</sup> of October 1783.

This Appraisement was returned into Court, and ordered to be  
recorded —

Teste Math<sup>s</sup> Young Clk.

Appraisement of  
the estate of  
Henry King dec'd.

27  
June

At a Court held for Isle of Wight County the 7<sup>th</sup> day of  
May 1784. It appearing to the Court that Tristram King decd.  
was possessed of so small an estate that no person will take Admini-  
-stration thereof. - It is ordered that the Sheriff take the said estate  
into his hands, and Administer the same according to Law —

Attest Nath. Young Clk.

It is hoped this pt. of evidence  
will engage the attention of  
the gentleman Commissioners  
so as to induce them to revise  
their proceedings, and to  
throw off any early  
impressions - W. Dept. -

For it shows how much they  
have to do in the dark.

They may report any  
probable circumstances  
to the Court, although  
not included in their

Statements, with their  
impressions & their  
reasons, although there  
may be no formal  
positive evidence for  
the ideas - ~~the~~ ~~from~~

7. King Estate  
Given to Suff

Received Smithfield July 1st 1784. of Mr Samuel  
Thing Administrator of Henry Thing Jun<sup>r</sup> The Sum  
of Six pounds Seventeen shelling, and Ten pence in  
full of my Acc<sup>t</sup>. . . . . Geo Burdce

This again shows that all the estates did not come to L<sup>d</sup> Kniss  
hands. — Indeed was this my Case. or Could I get well paid for  
my trouble I am persuaded evidence might be found to destroy  
almost every vestige of Claim. These things ought surely to raise  
so strong a presumption in favor of L<sup>d</sup> Kniss that he ought not to be saddled  
with interest L<sup>d</sup> B

Purdies receipt

A Settlement made this 22<sup>nd</sup> of January 1705 of Henry Kings  
Estate Dec<sup>d</sup>.

|                                 |                |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| The Whole Amount.               | £ 1002..4..0   |
| The Whole Legacy.               | 175..0..0      |
| Divided, between Eight Children | 0 1027..4..0   |
| Each Part                       | £ 103..0..0    |
| Marthas, Legacies added         | 62-13--0       |
|                                 | 6 166..1..0    |
|                                 | £ 27..13-6     |
| Edmond Godwins Part             | £ 103..0       |
| Marthas, Part added             | 27..13..6      |
| By Sundreys                     | 131..1..6      |
|                                 | 110..10..5 1/2 |
| Interests for Eleven Years      | 20..3..0 1/2   |
|                                 | 11..0..0       |
| Balance due Edmond Godwin       | 31..3..0 1/2   |

|                            |             |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Joshua Bunkleys Part       | £ 101..1..6 |
| By Sundreys                | 83..0..0    |
| Interests for Three Years  | 90..1..6    |
|                            | 14..14..0   |
| By one Negro Amey &c       | 112..5..16  |
|                            | 76..10..0   |
| Balance Due Joshua Bunkley | £ 36..5..6  |

|                         |             |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Samuel Kings Part       | £ 103..0    |
| To Marthas Part         | 27-13-6     |
| By Sundreys             | 131..1..6   |
|                         | 10..0..6    |
| Balance due Samuel King | £ 113..1..0 |

|                       |              |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| John Kings Part       | £ 103..0     |
| To his Legacy         | 50..0        |
| To Marthas Part       | 27..13-6     |
| By Sundreys           | £ 101..1..6  |
|                       | 5..6..0      |
| Balance due John King | £ 175..15..6 |

The 29<sup>th</sup> January 1785. We Nath<sup>l</sup> Goreau, J<sup>r</sup>, James Pitt, and  
 Samuel Whitfield. have this day by Consent of Joshua Bembrey  
 Samuel King & John King. Equal Heirs of Henry King De<sup>ce</sup> and  
 Martha King Ex<sup>tr</sup>. have met and Settled the Estate of  
 the said, Henry King De<sup>ce</sup> Given under our hand this 22<sup>nd</sup> of  
 January 1785.

Joshua Bembrey

John King

W<sup>m</sup> William Haughton  
 Samuel King

31..3

4 11

17..6

2 2..6

£ 33..12..0

2..8..0

The amount of the Sum to be Divided as Followeth 95

$\frac{\$1002.2}{175.0}$  The Different part W<sup>r</sup> Joshua Bunkley's part  
 $\frac{7}{1027.2}$  seems to be  $\$110.3.1\frac{1}{2}$   
 $\frac{\$110.3.1\frac{1}{2}}{30.0.0}$  By Credit 70.5.0  $\$50$  Leaguesy add on  
 $\frac{\$39.10.1\frac{1}{2}}{30.0.0}$   
 $\frac{\$9.10.1\frac{1}{2}}{27.3.10\frac{3}{4}}$   
 $\frac{\$117.1.11\frac{3}{4}}{W^r \text{ Joshua Bunkley}}$

Martha King part  $\$110.3.1\frac{1}{2}$   
 $\frac{5.0.0}{117.3.1\frac{1}{2}}$   $\$50$  Leaguesy added on  
 $\frac{30.0.0}{161.3.1\frac{1}{2}}$   
 $\frac{\$27.3.10\frac{3}{4}}{To \text{ be added to Each parts}}$

Capt. Edmond Godwin part due  
 By Credit  $\frac{\$110.3.1\frac{1}{2}}{94.0.9}$   
 $\frac{23.14.4\frac{1}{2}}{25.0.0}$   $\$25$  Leaguesy  
 $\frac{\$40.14.4\frac{1}{2}}{27.3.10\frac{3}{4}}$  Martha King's  
 $\frac{\$75.10.2\frac{3}{4}}{ball^ce \text{ due Godwin}}$

Samuel King part due  
 $\frac{\$110.3.1\frac{1}{2}}{27.3.10\frac{3}{4}}$  Martha King's add  
 $\frac{\$145.16.11\frac{3}{4}}{ball^ce \text{ due Samuel King}}$

$\frac{103.0}{24.0}$   
 $\frac{6}{90.0}$   
 $\frac{16.0}{}$

John King part due  $\frac{\$110.3.1\frac{1}{2}}{27.3.10\frac{3}{4}}$   
 $\frac{145.16.11\frac{3}{4}}{30.0.0}$   
 $\frac{\$110.3.1\frac{1}{2}}{7 \text{ Children}}$   $\frac{\$195.6.11\frac{3}{4}}{}$

$\$50$  Leaguesy

$\frac{027.4.10\frac{1}{2}}{175.0.0}$  add on  
 $\frac{1002.1.10\frac{1}{2}}{Proof}$



Holladay's Ex<sup>h</sup> }  
n. }  
H. King's Ex<sup>h</sup> } Judg<sup>t</sup>

Wm

John

Isle of Wight County, to wit,

Mary Holliday Executrix &c of Anthony Holliday ~~decd~~  
against Samuel King Administrator of Henry King ~~decd~~  
An Case filed for £6. 11. 3 & 106<sup>wt</sup> Gross Tob.

Note - This execution issued the 12<sup>th</sup> day of May  
1787 - returnable to August 1787. was re-  
turned as follows, to wit -

Executed and Replevied - 7<sup>th</sup> June 1787.

Wm. H. Kipner D. Jff

Attest Teste Bath. Young Esq.

Isle of Wight County

May 1788

Mary Holladay executrix of Anthony Holladay ~~decd~~ <sup>Wm</sup>  
against

On Replevy  
Bond

Samuel King Adm<sup>r</sup> of Henry King ~~decd~~ . . . . . Deft.

Judgment for the plaintiff for £7. 19. 8<sup>1/2</sup> &  
81<sup>lb</sup> of Tob<sup>o</sup> and 15/- costs

Attest Teste Bath. Young Esq.

The replevy bond satisfied part Ind<sup>t</sup> of  
Course. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ind<sup>t</sup> was aft. sent this security.  
this shews so far, that the whole of Samuels  
estate ought not to be charged to making  
This Circumstance also shews the  
narrow Circumstances of Sam<sup>l</sup> this first estate

In the name of God Amen, I Samuel King  
of the parish of Newport do Make & Ordain  
this to My Last will & testament. Item, I give  
& bequeath to my Brother John King the plantation  
whereon I now live to him & his heirs forever  
Alloo the plantation whereon Isaac Hall now  
lives to him & his heirs for ever Alloo the  
plantation whereon Peter Best formerly lived &  
now occupied my Brother John King to him  
& his heirs for ever — I also gave unto my  
Brother John King the following Negroes VZ —  
Will, Rebecca, Lilly, Aggy, Rose, Peggy, Esther, Sel-  
-prudence —

I give to my Nephew Henry King  
my Negro girl Pink, But should he die before  
he comes of lawfull age then my request is that  
he should go to my Brother John King & his  
heirs — I give to my Nephew Edmond —

Godwin my Negro Boy Solomon, for  
him & his heirs forever —

I also give to my Nephew Henry King my  
riding horse, — I also gave to my Brother John

My Cattle Hogs & Sheep — likewise my  
beds household furniture Kitchen furniture

Materials for Crapine as well as all the Rest of my  
Real & personal estate, this being my last will  
& testament I appoint My Brother John King  
my whole & Sole Executor —

Witness my hand & Seal this 23 of June 1799  
In witness  
Na Dorworthy  
Mary Hall

Samuel King Seal

*D<sup>r</sup>. The Estate of Henry & Tristram King in A/c. with John King*

|                                           |           |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|
| To cash paid Do <sup>r</sup> . Applewhite | £ 2. 0. 0 |
| " Henry Kings bond due Mary King          | 50. 8. 9  |
| " Tristram Kings bond due do              | 7. 15. 0  |
| " Cash paid for Henry Kings Coffin        | 15. 0     |
| " do. paid for Tristram King              | 2. 0. 0   |
|                                           | <hr/>     |

*B<sup>y</sup>*

|                                                         |             |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| By Henry Kings part of his Fathers est.                 | £ 103. 8. 0 |
| By do. part of his Sister Martha's est.                 | 37. 13. 0   |
| By Tristram Kings part of his Fathers est.              | 103. 8. 0   |
| By do. part of his Sister Martha's est.                 | 37. 13. 0   |
| By 8 head cattle belonging to Tristram Kings est.       | 19. 10. 0   |
| By amt <sup>s</sup> of Appraisement of Henry Kings est. | 82. 18. 0   |
| By hire of Negroes                                      | 42. 0. 0    |
|                                                         | <hr/>       |
| By balance                                              | 337. 10. 3  |
| By Int <sup>r</sup> . do. from January 1792             | 2           |
| until July 1804 - 12 years & 6 Mo.                      | 3           |
|                                                         | <hr/>       |
|                                                         | £ 548. 9. 0 |

|                                                     |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| To John Kings part say $\frac{2}{4}$ of £548. 9.    | £ 274. 4. 0 |
| To Sarah Bunckley's part say $\frac{1}{4}$ of do.   | 137. 2. 3   |
| To Bagnall & Godwin's part say $\frac{1}{4}$ of do. | 137. 2. 3   |
|                                                     | <hr/>       |
|                                                     | £ 548. 9. 0 |

In Obedience to a decree of the Worshipful Court of Isle of Wight to us directed, We the undersigned, examined and stated on Account of the estates of Henry and Tristram King and find a balance due to the said estate of five hundred and forty eight pounds nine shillings, which we have directed in the following manner to wit, To John King  $\frac{2}{4}$  - to Sarah Bunckley  $\frac{1}{4}$  and to Bagnall and Godwin  $\frac{1}{4}$  as of the above statement. We further

*thus*

Report that we have divided the slaves belonging to the said estates among  
the complainants, and John King, the Defendant, and find a balance due  
from John King of thirty seven pounds ten shillings due to Sarah Bunkley,  
and thirty seven pounds ten shillings to Bagwell and Godwin, out of the  
negro's devise in Samuel King's Will to Edmund Godwin and Henry King.  
Given under our hands this 7<sup>th</sup> day of Aug<sup>r</sup>. 1804

Wm Jordan

Willis Mills

Abby Feste

Math<sup>r</sup> Young Es<sup>r</sup>.